MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING

Tallinn October 13, 2026 no 9

Start of the meeting 18.00, end of the meeting 19.37

Chaired by Rain Samarüütel
Minutes secretary: Kirke Piiskoppel
14 out of 15 were present: Emma Pallase, Mirtel Migur, Michel Viana Smykalla, Emma Arabella Jõgeva, Kert Kaarel Veskus, Pert Salundi (MS Teams), Hanelle Kaugerand (MS Teams), Andris Taal (MS Teams), Joonas Teder (MS Teams), Roosi-Mari Kivi (MS Teams), Mari Kiviselg (MS Teams), Dumitru Ciobanu, Elis Ulla, Nils Erik Eisen (liitus 18.03).
Absent: Maria Fedulova.
Invited: Ronaldo Ollo, Kristiina Vene, Anette Kallasmaa, Kristin Liias, Maksim Dolinin, Emili Järv, Karoliine Orav, Hanna Savolainen, Laura Magdalena Vatsel, Marten Männik, Lumi Raist

Agenda

I Reports

1. Board report;
2. Review of the Student Union's 2026 action plan;

II Discussions

3. First reading of the Student Union's 2027 action plan;
4. Amendments to the Student Life Funding Regulations.

The agenda was approved unanimously.

1. Board report

Rain Samarüütel: Board meetings were held. In September, there was also an alumni reunion, which Kristiina and Karoliine organised very well. There was a campus party, and I went to play a quiz with Tiit; we finished somewhere in the middle. There were meetings of the Academic Committee and the Senate. The freshman initiation event took place, and although the equipment did not work due to very bad weather, we managed. We attended Roniš's autumn games with the Student Union (SU).

There were two Representative Council meetings. We recalled one board member. I also attended two general meetings of student councils to talk about the Student Union. General meetings of INSÜK and LÜK are also currently taking place, with SU representatives present. A meeting with the chairpersons of the student councils was held. Activities in the student life area as of September: the indoor sports coordinator organised the student volleyball championships. The outdoor sports coordinators also organised the volleyball championships. Preparations are underway for Ylipall, which will take place on 14 November in Pärnu. IDUS Day and the student karting championships took place, with TalTech winning. The internal and external relations coordinator is currently working on the EuroTeQ autumn conference and NordTeQ meetings. The latest meetings with the boards took place in September. The Roniš games were organised under their leadership. Discord was handed over to the IT coordinator. Some responsibilities were transferred to the secretary-administrator. The events coordinator organised the student community's birthday celebration and is currently planning upcoming events. The administrative manager is currently working on Tudengite Hääl and the SU study evening. The chair of the Funding Committee was responsible for the October small-project funding presentations. A funding training session is also coming up at the end of October. The IT coordinator continues to work on improving and developing Yttrium and managing Discord. The board member responsible for student life is currently dealing with matters concerning student corporations, placing various prospective organisations on probation or rejecting applications, and gathering additional information. Two student organisation offices that previously belonged to TTÜ Student Village are now under our management. Organising the freshman initiation event. Senate meeting. Roniš sports games; I would like to mention that 32 schools participated and TalTech placed 8th. The student community's birthday celebration and many different meetings.

Nils Erik Eisen joined on 18.04.

Karoliine Orav: First, regarding my team members. The awards events coordinator has just completed the decorations of merit, and the recognition part of the lecturers' gala is currently in progress. Everyone has also received an email explaining what we expect from you. The mental health project coordinator is currently organising Mental Health Month, with various events taking place. The education quality coordinator is currently coordinating the work of the HKT working group and preparing for the lecturers' gala. As for me — routine tasks, meetings with team members as needed, a departmental meeting was also held, board meetings, work in the Academic Committee, the Academic Committee meeting, the Senate, and a meeting with education leads. One new development is my participation in the work of a commission of the Education Quality Agency. A summary of the SU alumni reunion. We plan to organise it again next year. We met with the Counselling Centre, the Rector, and the Academic Affairs Office. We attended the ceremony marking Tallinn University of Technology's 108th anniversary. Discussions about the lecturers' gala. Discussion of a presentation for the Learning and Teaching Conference. The students who participated in the campaign displayed on the university walls were thanked there.

Kert Kaarel Veskus: Will HKT continue working in the same way as before?

Karoliine Orav: At the moment, it remains in the form in which it was left last year. More students, slightly fewer curricula. We still need to see how things develop in the future with the new feedback questionnaire in ÕIS.

Dumitru Ciobanu: Is Mental Health Month only a TalTech initiative? Or are you collaborating with other organisations?

Karoliine Orav: We are organising it internally at TalTech with the SU and tried to involve the student councils. We are collaborating with MTÜK, and an investment evening is coming up. Other universities are organising events as well, so we are holding one event in cooperation with TLÜ.

Kristiina Vene: Routine work tasks. The main focus was on preparing the budget, including revisions and discussions. I have to submit it to the university by 19 October. Participation in the work of the Election Committee. I attended a meeting. Coordinating the completion of reporting for the third period of the action plan. My goal is to improve the SU's internal management culture and make it more consistent. The other Kristiina and I agreed to hold monthly one-to-one meetings. We discussed the plans with Kirke. Kirke will take over some of the internal and external coordinator's responsibilities, and we reviewed them. We changed the work responsibilities and also agreed that we would not hold one-to-one meetings, but would meet as needed. We scheduled meetings with the chairperson. I met with the Rector regarding the budget. A feedback meeting about the SU alumni reunion. We looked for a graphic designer and layout designer; five people applied. We also held five interviews. I was able to apply my knowledge and experience. Onboarding for the new member has been completed, and they also attended the HR meeting yesterday. We are already considering the next recruitment plans. Organising training for the SU and EK. A Christmas party is being considered. Monitoring and carrying out the removal of CVs and motivation letters from inboxes. The other Kristiina: she is involved whenever projects take place. The social media team and Kristiina prepared a comprehensive marketing activities plan. The idea is to avoid direct conversations in Messenger, where things can be forgotten. For example, when someone has an event coming up, they enter everything they need from social media into a table. This should make their work easier. She also created Marketing 101 and a guide to improve marketing activities. She attended a branding meeting and gave an overview at the HR meeting. There were some problems in the marketing area because misunderstandings arose in communication and mutual expectations. Creating the marketing plan table is also part of the solution to the problem.

2. Review of the Student Union's 2026 action plan

Rain Samarüütel: Are there any questions?

Kert Kaarel Veskus: Row 33, how is data on activities and projects collected? What was collected and how was it collected?

Rain Samarüütel: If I am not mistaken, it should be similar to a register, listing the larger projects and achievements.

Kert Kaarel Veskus: And this is for every organisation?

Rain Samarüütel: Yes.

Kert Kaarel Veskus: Is anything done with this data?

Kristiina Vene: An activity is what the organisation does. It is broader in scope; it does not mean listing every individual project. Rather, for example, that the Culture Club organises events to support students' well-being. The other question concerned the purpose of this data. The university sometimes

needs this information. We can use it to produce statistics, see how many active members there are, how many of them are students, and so on.

Emili Järv: Row 14 concerns Tudengite Hääl. When a new event is coming up, has anything been done with the topics raised last time? What has happened to those minutes?

Rain Samarüütel: I have not looked at those minutes.

Emili Järv: This is an annual problem: nothing has been done with them. We are trying not to lose them this year.

Rain Samarüütel: Since no chairperson has organised the event two years in a row recently, this year will be different.

Emili Järv: Row 36, how many students gave feedback on the opening orienteering event?

Rain Samarüütel: I think 59.

Emili Järv: The number should be recorded so that we can determine whether the objective was achieved.

Rain Samarüütel: A comment about next year: we plan to collect feedback differently next year, using a QR code when handing out freshman bags so that feedback can be given on the spot.

Emili Järv: I think that is a good idea. Row 29 states that we monitor whether own financing increases, but how do we actually monitor it?

Kristiina Vene: The answer is probably no. Since I do not believe our organisations can provide us with adequate data, I think we also changed this point in next year's action plan so that we would not collect this information. The current answer is that we do not have the information.

Emili Järv: It remained the same in the new action plan.

Rain Samarüütel: Then this was discussed extensively at the meetings; we will review it.

Emili Järv: Row 55, what happened to the ÜHIS index? Did the university remove it from its development plan? What happened to this issue overall?

Rain Samarüütel: The university informed us that it no longer wishes to use this index. The university is not pressuring the SU to use it, but at the same time, if it exists, it could be used. We will adapt it ourselves and simplify it. We would now retain only student life, which we can influence more directly.

Emili Järv: Okay, understood. It was included in next year's action plan. Row 76: we sold advertising space on cafeteria tables, but a question arose as to whether we are even entitled to sell advertising on cafeteria tables. We understood that we can sell advertising on our own premises, but the tables are not among them.

Kristiina Vene: We do not place advertising illegally. Since the other Kristiina transported the materials there herself, we would have heard about it if there had been a problem. Since Kaur is far away and

delegated this to Kristiina, I am more than certain that she did not do it arbitrarily and that it had been discussed and agreed upon.

Emili Järv: Row 85, regarding work plans, were the job descriptions and handover documents also reviewed?

Kristiina Vene: The positions that went to recruitment have been reviewed, namely the graphic designer and layout designer positions. The documents that needed to be reviewed were reviewed where a need arose. According to the preliminary forecast, recruitment for two positions will take place during the winter period, and we will review those then. I believe more in ongoing updates than sitting down and doing everything at once. The handover files for the graphic designer and layout designer have been reviewed. I cannot comment on the others.

Emili Järv: Row 41: not a single activity has taken place during the year.

Karoliine Orav: This point was previously formulated as a general one to cover the various projects we organise. Not to hide behind the fact that we do not have a student life lead with new ideas, but yes.

Emili Järv: As Kristin was also beginning to say, all SU events would fall under this point anyway.

Rain Samarüütel: If I am not mistaken, in the new action plan we combined it with another point.

Emili Järv: No, it was the same.

3. First reading of the Student Union's 2027 action plan

Rain Samarüütel: We revised the objectives and combined some items. We removed some fields that seemed unnecessary for the action plan, such as board elections and the budget.

Kristiina Vene: I removed budget preparation; it is the same every year. I did not see much point in keeping it.

Mirtel Migur: Row 19, continuous resolution of educational issues. Does this point make sense? Only in connection with HKT is there anything to do under this point, because otherwise no one approaches us.

Karoliine Orav: We can combine it with HKT. Add a sentence saying that we will deal with issues if people approach us. I agree with that.

Emili Järv: Representative Council elections and the number of voters: the target increases from 1,000 to 2,500 voters. We wanted to ask whether this jump is reasonable when we did not even manage to meet this year's target, which was fewer than 1,000.

Rain Samarüütel: I have ambitious goals. We can increase that number. We may not achieve it, but the threshold should be raised. This year, if we attend every student council general meeting to talk about and promote the elections, it will be more effective.

Emili Järv: Very good! Row 12 — the wording. Previously, it said that the board "brings" issues before the EK. We propose keeping the wording from the previous version, because "brings forward when necessary" raises the question of who defines that necessity. The activity's outcome is essentially the same; one is descriptive and the other is a result, so they should not overlap so much. EK members participating in university off-site trips: what trips are these? No one has participated so far. Are any planned? Responsibility has also shifted from the board to the EK, even though the board is better placed to raise these topics because you have a better flow of information.

Rain Samarüütel: I worded it that way myself because we do not bring everything forward, but from a wording perspective, your suggestion would make sense. The university off-site trips are presumably trips organised by student organisations.

Emili Järv: These are student community trips. It is an odd phrase left over from the past. You can remove it.

Rain Samarüütel: We will review this point.

Emili Järv: It gives the impression that responsibility for raising topics has shifted from the board to the EK itself, even though the board has better resources for bringing topics forward. We cannot shift all the responsibility to the EK, because it is much harder for an individual member to raise issues than for you as the board. You also have a better flow of information for this purpose.

Rain Samarüütel: I have highlighted it in yellow and will review it. Thank you for the feedback.

Emili Järv: Row 15 — the outcome is worded as if the student councils' opinions were more important. The SU and student councils create new positions, but only the EK can establish positions. We believe this creates confusion if other bodies start establishing positions, when the EK was elected specifically to establish positions on behalf of the student community.

Rain Samarüütel: We will review it.

Emili Järv: Row 16 — this concerns feedback in ÕIS. It states that a system will be created, although we know that a system already exists. Does this mean that an entirely new system will be created or that the existing one will be improved?

Karoliine Orav: It refers to an update and the creation of a new questionnaire. Discussions are ongoing, but it has not yet been created.

Kert Kaarel Veskus: A question for the audit committee regarding the previous point. Am I correct in understanding that the problem was that, in addition to the EK, student councils would also formulate positions?

Emili Järv: The concern is that you, as the EK, have the right to adopt positions that represent the student community. So what positions would others be creating? For example, MTÜK can establish positions representing MTÜK. But there is no reason to create some kind of chain in which the SU develops positions together with student councils, as they would then be carrying out a task that belongs to you.

Kert Kaarel Veskus: Yes, I understand now.

Karoliine Orav: "Positions" is the wrong word; I will correct it. The idea is to cooperate with student councils so that we can better understand what is happening in the faculties and gain a broader perspective.

Kert Kaarel Veskus: Should this not also be the responsibility of EK members to some extent? To represent interests.

Kristiina Vene: It is not about starting to develop positions, but about cooperation. To use Karoliine's example: the SU wants to hear the student councils' opinions. The word "position" raised the question, but there is also a problem in that student councils are not used enough, which the board is also trying to improve. The aim is to gather opinions about what is happening at student level.

Karoliine Orav: For smaller questions and requests for feedback, it is easier for me to approach the education leads than to bring the matter before the EK.

Nils Erik Eisen: We do not directly represent the student councils, do we?

Karoliine Orav: You represent the students in your faculty.

Emili Järv: Our concern was that it gave the impression that the SU and student councils would start developing positions together. Row 17 — the activity refers to a questionnaire, but the outcome refers to what is done based on the questionnaire. The activity and outcome are not consistent. Please correct this. Row 20 — this point should be rewritten. The current wording is very general. It says that the survey takes place every two years, but our action plan covers one year, so what are the activities in between, the follow-up activities? As it stands, it is not clear from reading whether the survey will take place this year or not.

Mirtel Migur left at 18:50.

Emili Järv: Row 21 — this needs to be reworded. The SU does not manage the whistleblowing hotline. This is done by Internal Audit. The Audit Committee manages the reports forwarded by Internal Audit. Row 26 — a question arose about what training and contacts mean under this activity. What guidance materials are shared with those who request them?

Rain Samarüütel: I cannot answer that at the moment.

Emili Järv: Row 29 — regarding funding. There are questions about how practical opportunities are provided to organisations. The current training format does not support this kind of activity. Do you want to change the format or the wording? Row 30 — regarding annual grants. Checks are carried out to ensure that the grant was used for its intended purpose. As far as we know, no such checks take place. The current wording does not correspond to the actual activity. Row 31 — we could add that the information should be available on the website. The last sentence should be split into two separate sentences so that the information is clear to everyone. Row 33 — we would like to point out that, as far as we know, there is no solution for ensuring the accuracy of the original data. If we compile statistics based on this data, we must acknowledge that the information may not be accurate.

Kristiina Vene: Is the audit committee's point that we should somehow ensure the student or non-student status of every member...?

Emili Järv: Our point is that we must recognise that if we produce statistics, those statistics may be incorrect. We understand that there are approximately 2,500 student activists, and it is obviously unrealistic to check them one by one. We want to point out that the information obtained under this point may be inaccurate because student organisations cannot verify this information themselves.

Rain Samarüütel: Do you mean that if we ask how many members there are and they say 200, there might actually be only 100?

Emili Järv: We mean the number of students. They do not know themselves which of their members are students and which are not.

Kristiina Vene: They cannot access the university's official statistics, but in response, there may indeed be some discrepancies. For example, INSÜK has a very large number of members, and I doubt they keep track of which are active students and which are not. Broadly speaking, we get an approximate result, and that is also what we want.

Emili Järv: Row 35 — a new format is planned for the opening event. This was not explicitly stated, but the wording was also very general. If a different format is genuinely being considered, include it in the plan. If not, leave it as it is. Row 36 — fair activities: the current period runs until August, because the event takes place in August. Perhaps the implementation period could run until September. Row 37 — student cap day. A question arose because the caps are sold at a discounted price, but they are being transferred to us. Has it already been agreed that we can sell them at a discounted price, and who covers the difference? Or was this included simply because it has always been done this way?

Kristiina Vene: We will transfer the caps at the end of this year or in January. I have spoken with Merilin; at the moment, there is a verbal agreement that we can organise the cap day, but the money and payment terminals will be provided by them. We will do a little promotion and set up the tables. The discounted price is the purchase price; I cannot say exactly what it is. I think it is roughly the same as now, around 24 euros. We sell them below the purchase price.

Emili Järv: Previously, caps were also sold at the campus party, but this is not in the current action plan. Will that no longer take place?

Kristiina Vene: I cannot say for certain, because this year there was an awkward situation where the task was left hanging. One person said it was not their responsibility, and another said the same. We also discussed whether it serves its purpose. If we find someone to take care of it, then perhaps. I would say that this is still under consideration.

Karoliine Orav: From my perspective, I do not see that it adds much value, since the souvenir shop is open at the campus party anyway.

Emili Järv: Row 38 — have you decided to replace Theme Week with Theme Month? Okay, understood, there is an error there. Row 39 — the same row where no activities took place. Are any activities now planned?

Karoliine Orav: Rain, you can include your SU study evenings under that point.

Emili Järv: I was thinking the same. Just something to consider. Row 41 — the nomination events are coming up one after another. For some, the objective is linked to results, such as the number of nominations we want to receive, while for others it is not. Row 44 — we noticed that the budget for this event is quite large. Would you consider setting a higher target for the number of nominations? At present, it is only 30.

Kristiina Vene: Next year's budget will be smaller.

Maksim Dolinin: Not the number of decorations, but the number of nominations.

Karoliine Orav: I discussed this with the awards events coordinator beforehand, but we will review it.

Emili Järv: Row 45 — this concerns scholarships. We award scholarships according to criteria. As far as we know, there are no criteria. There are two options: either we create criteria or reword the statement.

Kristiina Vene: I cannot even think of what such criteria might be. Thinking it through, if I want to apply for the Tallinn Water scholarship, there are criteria: I must be a student, enthusiastic, and so on. Those are criteria, after all.

Emili Järv: Yes, agreed. But it says that we select scholarship recipients according to criteria. Okay, in that sense, I agree that the sentence can be interpreted in two ways. We interpreted it as meaning that if you have 10 applicants and need to select 3, you choose them according to criteria, but all 10 are students with average academic performance and so on.

Kristiina Vene: But a member of the SU cannot apply for our scholarship, so that would immediately disqualify them because they failed to meet the criteria.

Emili Järv: But in that sense, if we have 30 people and need to select 4, there are no criteria that would determine which 4 out of the 30 are chosen. Those criteria do not exist.

Maksim Dolinin: Just change the wording and it will be fine.

Emili Järv: Row 47 — systematic collection of input is taking place. How is this done? Is there a plan for it? The activity is very broad, but the outcome is very narrow. The outcome concerns the Student House, while the activity concerns the entire campus. Perhaps the outcome should also be worded more broadly. Where does the input come from?

Rain Samarüütel: One source of input is Tudengite Hääl.

Emili Järv: Just consider how we can obtain this input. Row 49 — sports representatives at sporting events. Merchandise was also mentioned unexpectedly. It does not really fit under this point. Perhaps it should be a separate point.

Rain Samarüütel: We will reword the point more precisely.

Emili Järv: Row 52 — you answered that earlier. Row 55 — what do cooperation networks mean? The word "positions" has appeared here again, which we discussed earlier.

Rain Samarüütel: Networks and sector-specific cooperation refer to the feedback we received about bringing similar organisations together.

Emili Järv: So we understand that the previous roundtable format has changed?

Rain Samarüütel: Instead of January.

Emili Järv: A row has disappeared. At some point, it was stated that English translation would be provided at larger events. Why is this no longer included?

Rain Samarüütel: There is no point in listing the events at which we provided it.

Emili Järv: The plan to provide translation remains. Row 61 — how will this be measured? Row 66 — SU representatives attend all student council and college meetings. We wanted to ask whether this is realistic.

Rain Samarüütel: It is accurate, because that is what we are doing now.

Kristin Liias: What if a situation arises where no one can make it to EMERA...?

Rain Samarüütel: I have usually always managed to attend myself. If I cannot make it, I find a substitute.

Maksim Dolinin: Is anyone currently attending the INSÜK meeting?

Rain Samarüütel: Laur Leemets.

Emili Järv: Row 70 — a Tipikas represents our values. Does the Tipikas know what values they represent? Or what those values are? We should simply know what they are representing. Rows 77 and 66 — both concern how many people we want to apply for a position, but the targets are different. We assume one of them is correct.

Kristiina Vene: The latter one is correct.

Emili Järv: Row 78 — "management" and "work instructions" should be reworded; we do not generally use these terms. Row 79 — we discussed this earlier.

Kristin Liias: Row 64 — the outcome already assumes that activities will be combined whenever possible, whereas the activity leaves this as an option. There is no problem with the solution itself; just choose one approach or the other.

Kristiina Vene: I was thinking that only 2 out of 15 members attended this time, so what do I do if they do not come at all? But the aim is still to involve both groups, roughly half of the EK and SU membership, whatever the total number may be.

Kristin Liias: Ah, so proportionally. Not necessarily 50–50.

Kristiina Vene: Yes.

Emili Järv: Kristiina, could you reword that slightly? At the moment, it gives the impression that 50% of the EK and 50% of the SU will participate.

4. Amendments to the Student Life Funding Regulations

Ronaldo Ollo: Most people have read the explanatory memorandum. Most of the amendments are linguistic, with a few substantive changes. One of the more substantive changes concerns a deadline amendment that allows me, as the chair of the committee, to reject an application during the technical assessment phase if I am not contacted. Clause 7.7.7 — I reworded it to make it consistent with the other amendments concerning project duration. Previously, it stated that the start date had to be later than the confirmation of results. I changed this because other clauses stated that a project could also last for a period beginning before the results were announced.

Rain Samarüütel: Since everyone has probably read it, are there any questions?

Emili Järv: Some questions concern wording, while others are more substantive. We will raise the substantive ones here. Regarding 7.7.7, it seemed to us that this amendment changed something different from what the clause was originally intended to address. The intention was that your project starts when you make the first expenditure; that was what the EK decided at some point. When Ronaldo started making amendments, he approached it from the other side, which then made it illogical for the dates not to match. It seems to us that, instead of the amendment Ronaldo made, it might have been simpler to write that "no expenditure has been made by that point". This amendment is unnecessary.

Ronaldo Ollo: When submitting a project, you have to enter a start date, and you cannot enter a start date earlier than the publication of the results on the platform. However, the regulations state that, for large and small projects, a project may have started earlier. That was my understanding of the regulations.

Maksim Dolinin: The current regulations state that, in order to submit an application at all, applicants must already have a team and collected price quotations. If we change this, it would seem that they are not allowed to hold their first meeting or collect price quotations, meaning they cannot apply at all. We have separate provisions specifying when expenditure may be incurred, and separate provisions specifying the project's start date.

Ronaldo Ollo: But they cannot enter their actual start date?

Maksim Dolinin: They enter it separately in the action plan. It is specifically stated that this information goes into the action plan.

Ronaldo Ollo: Then what purpose does the start date on the funding platform serve?

Maksim Dolinin: It does not define the project's purpose. The platform specifies the date from which financial transactions may be made.

Emili Järv: Not the date on which the project begins.

Ronaldo Ollo: Well, then perhaps the platform needs to be changed. In that case, clause 7.7.7 can remain unchanged, and we can make changes to the platform.

Emili Järv: We are not disputing that the way it is written there may cause confusion. That confusion can be resolved elsewhere. Clause 25.1 stated how we communicate the results. It currently says that we "forward the results". However, under current practice, we do not forward the results; we send information that the results have been published. Therefore, there is no point in stating that we forward the results.

Ronaldo Ollo: We could say "for publication".

Emili Järv: The current concern is about approval. The results are published before the board approves them, which does not seem very proper, because what is the purpose of board approval then? Perhaps we should change our process, because why are we publishing results that have not been approved? But this is not directly related to the amendments to the regulations. Clauses 21.1 and 2.13 concern the purpose of the project competition. To define this clearly, we propose that, instead of the current amendment, the comma in the existing regulations should be replaced with "and". Then it will be clear that both refer to the same competition and that the criteria are not mutually exclusive.

Ronaldo Ollo: In my opinion, "unforeseen" is an unnecessary word, because if a project takes place outside the competition, that already means it was not planned

Emili Järv: We think it makes sense to state it explicitly at the beginning. The expression "outside the competition" is complicated, whereas "unforeseen" is easier to understand.

Ronaldo Ollo: Keep both, but add "and".

Emili Järv: Yes.

Kristin Liias: For example, someone could very easily come up with a project idea and submit it as a project outside the regular competition because they know there is a large sum of money in the reserve fund that they can apply for. The fact that it is unforeseen should somehow have to be demonstrated in this respect.

Ronaldo Ollo: Regarding "unforeseen", my question is how they would prove that it was unforeseen.

Emili Järv: The broader discussion is whether the committee, the board, or the Representative Council should decide who the reserve fund is intended for. If the project is supposed to be unexpected, then the applicant should explain during the presentation why it was unexpected.

Ronaldo Ollo: If adding this word satisfies you, I can include it.

Kristin Liias: It means less work for you. If someone reads the regulations and sees the word "unforeseen", but the project was not actually unforeseen...

Ronaldo Ollo: Experience shows that very few people actually read the regulations. Clauses 2.13 and 21.1. Fine.

Emili Järv: Clause 27.1 — it still states that students can apply for an annual grant. This should be changed.

Rain Samarüütel: Very good observation.

Ronaldo Ollo: This was combined because the board's reserve fund was moved into the same clause.

Emili Järv: We understand, but let's move it back.

Ronaldo Ollo: Split it into two clauses?

Emili Järv: Yes, separate them so that there is no confusion. Clause 7.1 — the term "application form" has been retained, but it could be "application" or "completed application form".

Ronaldo Ollo: I did not even change that.

Emili Järv: Yes, not all the changes were yours. We read the entire regulation. We also briefly mentioned clause 8.5.1.

Ronaldo Ollo: I found a solution. I will take it from the Statutes.

Emili Järv: Clause 23.1 — our proposal is that, when referring to the Funding Committee for the reserve fund, since it has different members, one EK member should also be included among them. Under the current regulations, this is not required, but we propose that it should be.

Kert Kaarel Veskus left at 19:33.

Rain Samarüütel: Would you like one EK member to be included?

Emma Pallase: That would make sense.

Ronaldo Ollo: Should the Funding Committee be expanded?

Emili Järv: We would replace one person.

Rain Samarüütel: That seems logical.

Emili Järv: Clause 26.2.2 — reword it to state that they receive the money after submitting the report. That is all.

Rain Samarüütel: Does the EK have any further questions? Are there any topics that have come up during the meeting that need to be raised? If not, that is everything for today.

TALLINNA TEHNIKAÜLIKOOL

Rain Samarüütel
Chairman of the meeting

Kirke Piiskoppel
Minutes secretary